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FOREIGN POLICY

Charles F. Hermann and Paul F. Lambert

INTRODUCTION

Expectations for entries in a bibliography on foreign policy can vary widely given the scope of the subject. Each year a vast amount of material is produced describing the specific foreign policy activities for contemporary or historical international actors. These descriptions of foreign policy are often accompanied by evaluations and prescriptions. Although such accounts constitute a major portion of contemporary foreign policy inquiry, they are excluded from the present bibliography. Instead, publications are featured that involve the interpretation or explanation of foreign policy. With some exceptions, the included works search for explanations with application to more than a single historical instance of foreign policy. Most of these authors wish to generalize about a class or set of foreign policy phenomena.

The bibliography is further limited by concentration on materials published since 1965. It does not include unpublished papers, dissertations, or privately circulated materials in the interest of noting items accessible to the reader. Elsewhere in this collection of bibliographies the literature on defense and arms control are reviewed, so items dealing
with those subjects are omitted unless they also contribute to some other aspect of foreign policy. The literature presented should be regarded more as illustrative of various types of research underway rather than as an exhaustive enumeration. Undoubtedly some important works have inadvertently been overlooked. The headings under which materials are presented are by no means mutually exclusive and many items could be presented under more than one category.

From the many possible ways of classifying current research we have chosen a way that uses six broad organizing categories. The first category includes items dealing with epistemological issues in foreign policy research. In the second broad category are studies that specify various elements or independent variables that are assumed to be responsible for certain directions or kinds of foreign policy. In some cases, entries in this section place most of their emphasis on characterizing the independent variables assumed to generate foreign policy and ignore the policies they are expected to produce. The third category includes diverse studies that share a conceptualization of the relationship of foreign policy to other phenomena that is quite different from those listed under previous headings. Research reported in the third category interprets foreign policy as the source of explanation for other variables or as part of the larger system in which the independent-dependent variable distinction is not meaningful. Works included in the fourth category characterize countries or other foreign policy actors either individually or comparatively. Our fifth major category deals with research that concentrates on the structures, processes, institutions, or methods for conducting foreign policy activity. Our sixth and final category introduces the small but growing literature on conceptualizing and classifying various kinds of foreign policy.

I. CONCERNS IN THE STUDY OF FOREIGN POLICY

A. General Surveys of the Field


5. Kegley, Charles W., Jr., The Economy of Foreign Policy, Sage, 1981.
7. Lentner, Howard H., A World at War, Merrill, 1974.

B. Conceptual Frameworks
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of the Field

Harris, Foreign Policy, in F.I. ds.), Handbook of Political Science, son-Wesley, 1975.


J. McGowan (eds.), Challenges to picy in the 1980s, Vol. 4. Beverly


B. Conceptual Frameworks for Interpreting Foreign Policy


RELATIONAL STUDY AS THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE: EMPHASIZE FACTORS IN THE NATURE OF INTERSTATE RELATIONS

A. Characteristics of Relations

1. Axelrod, Robert (ed.), Senatorial Political Elites. Princeton
3. deRivera, Joseph H. Theories of International Relations. Columbus, Ohio: Merrill, 1970.

B. Governmental Studies


C. Methodological and Philosophical Issues in Foreign Policy Research

II. RELATIONAL STUDIES WITH FOREIGN POLICY AS THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE—THESE STUDIES EMPHASIZE FACTORS THAT MIGHT EXPLAIN NATURE OF POLICY

A. Characteristics of Individual Political Leaders


B. Governmental Structure, Bureaucratic Politics, and Decision Units


C. Domestic Politics Including Ideology, Political Culture, Public Opinion, Struggle for Power and Succession


D. National Attributes or Characteristics of Entire Society Not Directly Political in Nature (e.g., Development, Societal Stress, Technology, Economic Structure)


E. External Factors but Influential (e.g., Alliances)

ideology, Political Culture, Power and Succession


E. External Factors Beyond National Boundaries but Influential on only Some Actors (e.g., Alliances, Trade Patterns)

7. Siverson, R.M., and J. King, Attributes of National Alliance


**F. Systemic Sources of Foreign Policy—Configurations of the International Systemic Affecting All Actors**

(e.g., Polarity, Level of Violence, Peace-Keeping Institutions)


**G. Multilevel Explanations of Foreign Policy—Studies that Combine Factors from Two or More Levels**


**III. FOREIGN POLICY OR MEDIATING VARIABLES? IS THE SOURCE OF PHENOMENA OR IS IT INTERACTION?**

**A. Dependence and Development**

1. Bodenheimer, Susanna, Fenn and Donald C. Fenn, Boston, Mass.: Porter


7. Moran, Theodore H., *International Organiz *


**B. Stimulus—Response**

III. FOREIGN POLICY AS AN INDEPENDENT OR MEDIATING VARIABLE—FOREIGN POLICY IS THE SOURCE OR CAUSE OF SOME OTHER PHENOMENA OR IS ONE ELEMENT IN A CLOSED INTERACTIVE SYSTEM

A. Dependence and Dependency Foreign Policy Studies


B. Stimulus—Response and Mediating Effects of Perception/Misperception


**C. Cybernetics and Adaptation**


**D. Linkage Politics-Domestic**


**IV. FOREIGN POLICY AND OTHER INSTITUTIONS**

**A. Surveys of Foreign Policy and Other Institutions**

D. Linkage Politics—the Interactive Effect Between Domestic and Foreign Politics


IV. FOREIGN POLICIES OF SPECIFIC COUNTRIES AND OTHER INTERNATIONAL ACTORS

A. Surveys of Foreign Policies of Single Country or Other International Actors


B. Comparison of Foreign Policies of Multiple Countries


C. Single Episode of One Country


D. Comparative Case Studies

3. Katzenstein, Peter J., The Logic ofイメージの大学との関係


### C. Single Episode or Case Study of Foreign Policy


### D. Comparative Case Studies of Foreign Policy


V. FOREIGN POLICY ORGANIZATIONS AND PROCESSES—STUDIES THAT DO NOT RELATE THESE FACTORS TO KINDS OF FOREIGN POLICY (CONTRAST WITH IIB)

A. Institutions, Organizations, Ministries, and Bureaucracies


B. Processes in Foreign Policy Making


C. Operation of Decision-Making Processes in Foreign Policy


VI. THE NATURE OF FORCES AFFECTING FOREIGN POLICY

A. Conceptualization
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C. Operation of Domestic Political Elements in Foreign Policy Making


VI. THE NATURE, CLASSIFICATION, AND MEASUREMENT OF FOREIGN POLICY

A. Conceptualization and General Classification


B. Event Data as Foreign Policy Behavior


C. Foreign Policy Issue Areas—Classification of Policy According to the Substantive Problems and Conflicting Values Involved